

#### ANDHRA PRADESH STATE COUNCIL OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(A Statutory Body of the Government of A.P)

3rd, 4th and 5th floors, Neeladri Towers, 6th Battalion Road, Atmakur (V), Mangalagiri(M), Guntur-522 503, Andhra Pradesh

Web: www.apsche.org, Email: secretary@apsche.org academiccell@apsche.org



Dt: 5.08.2025

## T. V. SRI KRISHNA MURTHY JOINT DIRECTOR & SECRETARY (FAC)

Lr.No.APSCHE/ACI/State Awards/UniTeach/ 2025

#### To The Registrar

Andhra University	Acharya Nagarjuna	Sri Venkateswara
	University	University
Krishna University	Vikrama Simhapuri	Adikavi Nannayya
	University	University
Yogi Vemana	Sri Krishnadevaraya	Sri Padmavathi Mahila
University	University	Viswa Vidhyalayam
Cluster University	Dr. Adbul Haq Urdu	Dr. B. R. Ambedkar
	University	University
JNTU (K)	JNTU (A)	RGUKT
Andhra Pradesh	Dr. YSR University of Health	Sri Venkateswara
Fisheries University	Sciences	Veterinary University
Dr. YSR Horticultural	Acharya NG Ranga	Dr. YSR Architecture and
University	Agricultural University	Fine Arts
JNTU G	Andhra Kesari University	Rayalaseema University
Dravidian University	Sri Venkateswara Vedic	
	University	

Sub: APSCHE –State Teacher Awards – Universities – 2025 –Calling for applications of eligible University Teachers - Reg.

Ref: 1. G.O. Ms. No. 25 Higher Education (MC) Department Dt. 01. 07. 2022.

2. G.O. Ms. No. 47 Higher Education (MC) Department Dt. 14. 08. 2023.

In the reference 1<sup>st</sup> cited, Government issued modified guidelines in connection with the implementation of State Teacher Awards for university and college teachers. In the refence 2<sup>nd</sup>cited, the Government issued certain modifications to the guidelines issued in G.O cited in reference 1<sup>st</sup> cited.

Hence you are requested to call for applications from all eligible teachers of your university, by scrupulously following the eligibility criteria for University Teachers as given in the G.O Ms. No 25, reference 1 cited above.

The Registrars are further requested to give wide publicity among all the teachers of your university by placing the request for application for State Teacher Awards

on the University website and also sending emails to all the faculty, so that the dissemination of information is perfectly done.

As per the G.O. Ms. No. 25 referred above, a 5-member Scrutiny Committee be constituted with the Vice-Chancellor of the University as the Chairman and other Members from among the Principals, faculty Chairmen/Deans of the faculty to be nominated by the Vice-Chancellor.

The last date for the submission of applications for the State Teacher Awards by the eligible and desirous faculty, with all the required evidences/supporting documents to the University/Scrutiny Committee shall be 18th August 2025.

The Scrutiny Committee shall **scrutinise** all the applications received for the award of State Teacher Awards, as per the eligibility criteria mentioned in the said G.O. **from 19<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> August 2025**. The Scrutiny Committee shall verify the evidences submitted by the applicant and confirm the authenticity of the documents submitted. The committee should verify for the disciplinary action, if any, taken against the candidates or allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases, if any, pending against them and disqualify such applicants for being considered for award.

A worksheet shall be prepared group-wise, as per the proforma provided, with the scores of the Scrutiny Committee. A certificate is required from the registrar of the University stating that the recommended candidates are not having any allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases pending against them and were not given punishment by the University or any other institution where the candidate worked before joining university service.

The consolidated list along with the worksheets, hard copies of applications and evidences/supporting documents shall reach the office of the Secretary, AP State Council of Higher Education, before 5:00pm on 21st August 2025.

Consolidated list along with the hard copies of applications received after the due date and those without the supporting documents of applicants shall summarily be rejected.

Yours faithfully,

SECRETAR

#### **Important Dates**

1	Issue of Notification for calling for applications	06.08.2025
2	Last date for receiving the applications by the University	18.08.2025
3	Scrutiny of the applications by University Scrutiny Committee	19.08.2025 to 20.08.2025
4.	Submission of the recommended list of awardees by the Universities with all hardcopies to APSCHE	

#### Encl:

- 1. G.O. Ms. No. 25.
- 2. Teacher Performance Report format (application for the award) for university teachers.
- 3. Worksheet proforma to be filled by the Scrutiny Committee of the University, group-wise and submitted along with the hard copies of applications and evidences.

For clarifications if any, contact Srirangam Mathew, Academic Officer, APSCHE. (Mobile: 94 90 97 97 96) email: <a href="mailto:academiccell@apsche.org">academiccell@apsche.org</a>

#### **GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

#### <u>ABSTRACT</u>

Higher Education – Institution of State Awards to the University and College Teachers – Modification – Orders – Issued.

#### HIGHER EDUCATION (MC) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms.No. 25

\_\_\_\_\_

Dated:01.07.2022. Read the following:-

- 1) G.O.Ms.No.1055, Education Department, dated 19.12.1979.
- 2) G.O.Ms.No.95, Education (UE.I) Dept., dated 13.03.1991.
- 3) G.O.Rt.No.491, Higher Education (UE.II) Dept., dated 06.07.2001.
- 4) G.O.Ms.No.119, Higher Education (UE.II) Dept., dated 18.07.2008.
- 5) G.O.Ms.No.71, Higher Education (UE.II) Dept., dated 06.08.2010.
- 6) From the Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter No.01/Acad.Cell-SA/AC-6/2014, dated 20.06.2014.
- 7) From the Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter No.01/Acad.Cell-SA/AC-6/2014, dated 23.07.2014.
- 8) G.O.Ms.No.41, Higher Education (UE.II) Dept., dated 05.08.2014.
- 9) the Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter No.01/Acad.Cell/State Awards-2016/AC-6/2016, dated 14.06.2016.
- 10) G.O.Rt.No.181, Higher Education (UE) Dept., dated 29.07.2016.
- 11) Letter No.APSCHE/Ums-1053-Teacher Awards-Cash Awards/2017, dated 12.10.2017.
- 12) Letter No.APSCHE/Ums-C2-1053-Teacher Awards-Commt./2019, dated 16.12.2019.
- 13) From the Secretary, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Mangalagiri, Guntur District, efile bearing Computer No.1481072.

--0-

#### ORDER:-

In the G.O. 4<sup>th</sup> read above, orders were issued evolving a revised Scheme for selection of Teachers for the State Awards for University and College Teachers.

- 2. In the Letter 6<sup>th</sup> read above, the Commissioner of Collegiate Education has requested to review the number of State Awards and strengthening the procedure for selection of University and College teachers for the State Awards.
- 3. In order to bring quality and transparency in State Teacher Awards, a review meeting has been conducted by the Commissioner of Collegiate Education with the Chairman, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department, Commissioner of Collegiate Education, Commissioner of Intermediate Education, Commissioner of School Education and the Vice Chancellors of some selected Universities on 19.07.2014 to suggest changes and guidelines for maintaining academic excellence and recognize meritorious teachers from University and affiliated Colleges for the State Teacher Awards.
- 4. In the Letter 7<sup>th</sup> read above, the Commissioner of Collegiate Education has submitted a detailed proposal suggesting certain changes in the selection of Teachers awards from 2014 in accordance with the Minutes of the Meeting. After

careful examination of the recommendations, the Government in partial modification of the orders issued in the G.O.  $4^{\text{th}}$  read above, additional guidelines

- In the reference  $9^{\text{th}}$  read above, the Commissioner of Collegiate Education has submitted a detailed proposal and requested to enhance the number of State Awards to certain Universities and include one University in the list of Universities. Accordingly, certain amendments were carried out and orders were issued vide G.O.
- In the references 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> read above, the A.P. State Council of Higher Education constituted an Expert Committee to evolve new guidelines and to procedure to felicitate the selected teachers for State Teacher Award and to incur appropriate head-wise expenditure for conduct of State Teacher Award function. The Secretary, A.P. State Council of Higher Education in the reference 13<sup>th</sup> read above has requested the Government to issue modified guidelines to State Teacher Awards for University and College Teachers.
- After careful examination of the matter, Government decided to approve the recommendations of the above Committee on the revised scheme of State Awards to the University and College Teachers.
- In partial modification of the orders issued in references  $1^{\text{st}}$  to  $5^{\text{th}}$ ,  $8^{\text{th}}$  and  $10^{\text{th}}$ read above, Government hereby issue the following modified guidelines in connection with the implementation of State Teacher Awards for University and College Teachers. The Teacher Performance Report formats (application for the award) for College and University Teachers are annexed as Annexure -1 and

## GUIDELINES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF STATE TEACHER AWARDS FOR **UNIVERSITY & COLLEGE TEACHERS**

- 1. The following are guidelines for two categories of the University and College
  - a. State Awards for University Teachers (Subject-Wise)
  - b. State Awards for College Teachers (Subject-Wise)

# 2. Following are the groups, subjects in the groups and number of

## 2.1 University Teachers

SI No	Group	Subjects in the Group	No. of
1	Arts / Humanities	1. Fine Arts	Awards
		2. Theatre Arts	
	1	<ul><li>3. Performing Arts</li><li>4. Folk Arts</li></ul>	
		5. Telugu	
		6. English	2
		7. Hindi	
		8. Urdu	
		9. Sanskrit	
2		10. Philosophy	
2	Social Sciences - I	1. History	
		2. Archaeology	2
		3. Social Work	3
		4. Sociology	

		5. Political Science	
	u e sp jode e'	6. Public Administration	
		7. Women Studies	
		8. Population Studies	
3	Social Science - II	1. Economics/Rural	
J	Social Science 11	Development	
		2. Psychology	
		Andrew Control of the	
		3. Anthropology	
		4. Journalism & Mass	3
		Communication	
		5. Library & Information	
	S 40000	Sciences	
	1.50	6. Education	
		7. Physical Education	
		8. Yoga	
	Comment (Managament	1. Commerce	
4	Commerce/Management		
	200 00 10 00 0	2. Management	3
		3. Human Resources Mgmt	
		4. Industrial Relations	
5	Law	1. Law	1
6	Life Sciences - I	1. Botany	
J	Life Sciences 1	2. Microbiology	
	180	3. Biochemistry	space in
		4. Environmental Sciences	3
		1) If it is stated in the state of the state	
		5. Food & Nutrition	
		6. Food Technology	
7	Life Sciences - II	1. Zoology	
	-	2. Biotechnology	
		3. Marine Biology	
		4. Aquaculture	3
		5. Molecular Biology	
		6. Genetics	
	military fish page fish	7. Sericulture	
8	Physical Sciences – I	1. Physics/Nuclear Physics	
		2. Electronics/Instrumentation	
		Science	
	-	3. Geology / Geophysics	3
		4. Geography / Meteorology	
	A SATE OF A SECOND	5. Oceanic Science	
		6. Atmospheric Science	
9	Physical Sciences - II	1. Chemistry	
		2. Mathematics	
		3. Applied Mathematics	
		4. Statistics	3
		5. Astronomy	
		6. Computer	
		Science/Applications	
10	Engineering - I	1. Civil Engineering	
		2. Chemical Engineering	
		3. Mechanical Engineering /	
		Metallurgy	
		4. Instrumentation	4
		5. Biomedical Engineering	4
		6. Renewable Energy	
	gradient and the control of	The state of the s	T.
	" i"n vell dellest		
	"" "n vell delikak	7. Petroleum	
	" i'n will Effect	7. Petroleum 8. Architecture	
		<ul><li>7. Petroleum</li><li>8. Architecture</li><li>9. Biotechnology</li></ul>	
11	Engineering - II	7. Petroleum 8. Architecture	4

			42
「otal	Number of Awards	Technology and Lab	
	y, s	<ol> <li>Modern Medicine</li> <li>Dental Science</li> <li>AYUSH Programmes</li> <li>Nursing, Paramedical, Physiotherapy and Lab</li> </ol>	3
16	Medicine	<ul><li>2. Dairy Science</li><li>3. Fisheries Science</li></ul>	2
15	Veterinary Science	Veterinary Science	1
14	Horticulture	Agriculture     Horticulture	3
13	Agriculture	1. Pharmacy	1
12	Pharmacy	6. Aeronautical	
		5. Nanotechnology	
		4. Marine	
		3. CSE/IT	

Note: The subjects not specified above into such group mentioned above, considering their area of operation can be included. However, the number of awards shall not be increased in any of the groups.

### 2.2. College Teachers

SI No	Group	Subjects in the Group	No. of
1	Languages	1. Teluau	Awards
	3 4 4 9 5 5		4
		9	(1 for each
		3. Hindi	subject
2	Social Sciences	4. Sanskrit	area)
_	Social Sciences	1. History	
		2. Economics	
		3. Social Work /	-
		Sociology	7
		4. Political Science	(1 for each
		5. Public Administration	subject
		6. Philosophy /	area)
		Psychology	
4	6	7. Education	
4	Commerce/Management	1. Commerce /	
_		Management '	3
5	Sciences	1. Botany	
		2. Microbiology	
		3. Mathematics	
		4. Physics	
		5. Chemistry	10
	o saleriga	6. Statistics	
		7. Zoology	(1 for each
		8. Biotechnology	subject
		9. Biochemistry	area)
		10. Electronics /	
		/	
	* A	Computer Science &	
		Applications	

Total	Number of Awards	6.	Pharmacy		30
		2000	Communication Computer Science	e/ IT	area)
		4.	Electronics Electrical	and	(1for each subject
¥.			Mechanical Electrical	and	6
6	Engineering/ Pharmacy	1.2001115	Civil		

Note: The subjects not specified above into such group mentioned above, considering their area of operation can be included. However, the number of awards shall not be increased in any of the groups.

#### 3. Eligibility Criteria for University Teachers

- 3.1. A teacher should have put in a minimum of 10 years of regular service.
- 3.2. He/she must be engaged in active teaching work on the date of recommendation. However, the teachers who have retired during the previous 12 months or during the previous academic year may also be considered.
- 3.3. A teacher who is recipient of the state award earlier shall not be considered.
- 3.4. The application of Registrar of the University shall not be considered.
- 4. Commitment to and excellence in academic work and teaching as judged by class room teaching learning activities, coverage of course content, effectiveness of teaching and usage of ICT in teaching-learning process need to be judged.
- 5. Honesty, integrity, character and ability to get along with colleagues, students and others should be the spirit in judging the recommendation.
- 6. A 5 Member Scrutiny Committee may be constituted with Vice-Chancellor of the University as Chairman and the other Members from among Principals, faculty Chairmen/ Deans of the faculty to be nominated by the Vice-Chancellor. The committee should verify the disciplinary action if any taken against the candidates or allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases, if any, pending against them and disqualify such applicants for being considered for award. A certificate is required from the Registrar of the University stating that the recommended candidates are not having any allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases pending against them and were not given punishment by the University or any other institution where the candidate worked before joining University service.

The applicants based on the score in the Teachers Performance Report with the evidences submitted, shall be nominated to the State Awards, strictly based on merit.

- 7. Unless otherwise specified, the submission of data in the performance report shall be for the preceding five years.
- 8. The Performance parameters and weightages are as follows:

SI.	Performance Parameter	Weightage (%)
No.		

1	Topobine	
т_	Teaching and contribution to Academics	40
2	Research and Consultancy	40
		45
3	Extension and Community Service	1.5
	Sommariley Service	15

- 9. The performance parameter, Teaching and contribution to Academics (score 400) shall include:
  - a) Objective assessment of the Head of the Department / Principal (max. Score 80)
  - b) Student feedback on teacher performance (max. score 60)
  - c) Awards/Honours/Fellowships received by the teacher (max. score 40)
  - d) Teacher Distinctions (max. score 30)
  - e) Stay abroad on official leave for teaching/research/exchange programme (not for employment) (max. score 30)
  - f) Books authored and published with ISBN number (max. score 100)
  - g) Capacity Building Programmes attended (max. score 30)
  - h) For serving as Chairman / Member of Committees of Ministries of State / Central Govt / ICSSR / ICAR /DST / DBT / AICTE / UGC / NAAC (max. score 30)
- 10. The performance parameter, Research and Consultancy (score 450) shall Include:
  - a) Research guidance (max. score 100)
  - b) Research Projects completed / ongoing (max. score 100)
  - c) Research Publications in UGC CARE listed journals (max. score 100)
  - d) Patents (max. score 30)
  - e) Member on Editorial Boards of UGC CARE listed journals (max. score 20)
  - f) Seminars / Conferences / Symposia attended and presented papers / delivered key note addresses / invited lectures (max. score 20)
  - g) Seminars / Conferences / /Symposia / Workshops organized by the teacher in the Department / University (max. score 30)
  - h) Consultancy / Training (max. score 50)
- 11. The performance parameter, Extension and Other activities (score of 150) shall include:
  - a) Involvement in Extension / Outreach activities (max. score of 40)
  - b) Membership in Professional Bodies / Societies, membership in Governing Executive / Advisory bodies of an industry or other sectors (max. score of 40)
  - c) Administrative assignments (max score of 40)

- d) Establishing Start-Ups (max score of 30)
- 12. For awarding the score for objective observations of Head of the Department / Principal under Teaching and contribution to academics, the assessment done for the preceding academic year has to be considered. The award of score shall be based on factual data with verifiable evidence. The Head of the Department / Principal is responsible for any grievance and/or complaint with respect to 1A & 1B of the teacher performance report.
- 13. Same criteria shall also be followed for Student feedback on teacher performance. If student feedback is not collected and if proper evidence is not provided, the score should be zero. The Head of the Department / Principal is responsible for any grievance and/or complaint on this matter
- 14. The awards / honours / fellowships awarded by Govt / Govt. recognized academic bodies received by the teacher during the service shall be considered. Research projects are not to be included under this head.
- 15. Teachers who participated in refresher courses, orientation courses, training programmes or any other course in a related area of his/her subject, for a minimum period of one week duration, which enhances the capacity of the teacher may be considered.
- 16. The research projects awarded by public and private funding agencies in the name of the applicant shall only be considered. In case of Co-Investigator for such projects, 35% of the score to be considered provided the name of the Co-Investigator is mentioned in the award/selection letter of the funding agency. Research Projects like FIST / SAP which are awarded to the Department / University are not to be considered under the Research Projects completed / ongoing under Research and Consultancy parameter.
- 17. Research publications in UGC CARE listed journals are to be considered. The CARE list as given by UGC comprises of four groups:

Group A: Includes research journals in disciplines under Science, Engineering, Technology, Agriculture and biomedical Sciences indexed in SCOPUS and / or Web of Sciences (WOS).

Group B: Includes Journals qualified after analysis as per the protocol from the existing UGC list.

Group C: Includes journals from social sciences, humanities, languages and Indian knowledge systems recommended by CARE members, which are qualified as per the protocol.

Group D: Includes new journals submitted by CARE universities which are qualified as per the protocol.

For faculties of Sciences and Engineering the merit order is Group A and then Group B, whereas for other subjects, the merit order is Group C and Group D.

18. The training programmes supported by public and private organisations in the name of the applicant shall only be considered. In case of training programmes awarded to the Department or College, individuals cannot claim such revenues generated, unless the Vice-Chancellor / Registrar / Principal apportions the grant to individual teachers. The honorarium paid to teachers does not fall under this category.

- The candidates who secured 50% or more score shall only be considered for recommending for the State Teacher Award.
- 20. The revised format of Teacher Performance Report for University Teachers, along with the weightages is appended as Annexure I.
- 21. The applications shortlisted by the Scrutiny Committee of the University shall be forwarded to the APSCHE.

### 22. Eligibility Criteria for College Teachers:

- 22.1 A teacher should have put in a minimum of 10 years of service as Lecturer in Government/Private Aided/Private Unaided Degree/Postgraduate Colleges as on 1<sup>st</sup> September of that particular year in which he/she is applying for the State Award. The prescribed service of 10 years could be the aggregate regular and ratified service put in various recognised institutions/universities.
- In case of teachers of Private Unaided Degree/Postgraduate Colleges applying for the State Awards, the College in which they have/are worked/working should have been recognised under section 2(f) of UGC Act and / or accredited by National Assessment and Accreditation Council. Only those teachers whose appointments are ratified by the respective universities are eligible to apply.
- 22.3 He/she must be engaged in active teaching work on the date of recommendation. However, the teachers who have retired during the previous 12 months or during the previous academic year may also be considered.
- 22.4 A teacher who is recipient of the state award earlier shall not be considered.
- 23. Commitment to and excellence in academic work and teaching as judged by class room teaching learning activities, coverage of course content, effectiveness of teaching and usage of ICT in teaching-learning process need to be judged.
- 24. Honesty, integrity, character and ability to get along with colleagues, students and others should be the spirit in judging the recommendation.
- 25. member Scrutiny Committee shall be constituted Commissionerate of Collegiate level with Commissioner of Collegiate Education as Chairman. The Chairman shall nominate other Members from among RJD's and the Principals of Government Degree Colleges/ private Degree Colleges to scrutinize the applications of college teachers. The Committee should verify the disciplinary action if any taken against the candidates or allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases, if any, pending against them and disqualify such applicants for being considered for award. A certificate from the Principal of the College stating that the recommended not having any allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases pending against them and were not given punishment by the Government / College or any other Institution where the candidate worked before joining in the present service. In case Principal of the College is an applicant the concerned RJD shall certify.

- 26. The applicants based on the score in the Teachers Performance Report with the evidences submitted, shall be nominated to the State Awards, strictly based on merit.
- 27. For awarding the score for assessment of the teacher by the Principal, the assessment done for the preceding academic year has to be considered. The award of score shall be based on factual data with verifiable evidence. The Principal is responsible for any grievance and/or complaint with respect to 1A Assessment by the Principal, 1B student feedback on teacher performance and 1C Assessment based on records of the teacher performance report.
- 28. The Performance parameters and weightages are as follows:

SI.	Performance Parameter	Weightage (%)
No.	Teaching and contribution to Academics	60
7	Research and Consultancy	20
3	Extension and Community Service	20

- The candidates who secured 50% or more score shall only be considered for recommending for the State Teacher Award.
- 30. The revised format of Teacher Performance Report for College Teachers, along with the weightages is appended as Annexure II.
- 31. The applications shortlisted by the Scrutiny Committee for the College Teachers shall be forwarded to the APSCHE for placing it before the final Selection Committee.
- 32. The applications of the candidates recommended by University Level Committee will be scrutinised by a Committee consisting of subject experts under the Chairmanship of Vice-Chairman 1, APSCHE (as per G.O.Ms.No.41, Higher Education (UE) Dept., dated 05.08.2014)
- 33. A State-Level Committee shall consider the lists of University teachers and College teachers scrutinised by the respective Committees and recommend the names of the State Awardees to the Government (as per G.O.Ms.No.95, Education (UE) Dept., dated 13.03.1991)
- 34. The APSCHE shall facilitate complete financial assistance for the entire process of the State Teacher Awards including the final State Awards function.
- 35. The teachers selected for State Teacher Award may be felicitated by awarding a Certificate, gold coated silver medal weighing 20 grams, shawl, payment of expenditure on TA/DA and other incidental expenditure on refreshments.
- 36. The State Teacher Award function may be conducted only in University / College / Cultural Association Auditorium, avoiding private Convention Centers and hotel banquet halls.
- 37. If any candidate is recognised and awarded at a significantly high level by the Government of India or any other National and International organisation, the state level committee may recognise the extraordinary meritorious performance by adding 10% of total marks additionally.

- The APSCHE is advised to conduct the function economically incurring 38. minimum expenditure possible.
- In case of only one or two candidate/s or no eligible candidate is/available in a subject group, the State Level Committee can take a decision on the matter. 39.
- The Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P., Vijayawada and the Secretary, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Mangalagiri, Guntur District shall take necessary action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

#### J.SYAMALA RAO PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

The Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P., Vijayawada

The Secretary, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Mangalagiri, Guntur Dist.

The Commissioner of Technical Education, A.P., Vijayawada

The Commissioner of School Education, A.P., Vijayawada

The Chairman, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Mangalagiri, Guntur Dist.

The Vice Chairman, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Mangalagiri, Guntur Dist.

The Registrars of all Universities of Andhra Pradesh.

Copy to:-

P.S to Secretary to C.M.

The O.S.D to Minister (Education)

The P.S. to Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education Dept.

The P.S. to Spl.Chief Secretary to Government, School Education Dept.

SF/SCs.(Computer No.1492111)

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

# ANNEXURE-I STATE AWARDS FOR UNIVERSITY TEACHERS

TEACHER PERFORMANCE REPORT

## STATE AWARDS FOR UNIVERSITY TEACHERS Particulars of the Teacher

1	Name (Prof./Dr./Mr./Mrs./Ms.) in BLOCK LETTERS
2	Designation
3	Department / college
4	Mobile Number
5	Email Address
6	University
7	Date of birth
8	Educational qualifications
9	Total length of service as Teacher in the university
10	Score obtained as per Teacher Performance Report (enclosed )

#### STATE AWARDS FOR UNIVERSITY TEACHERS

#### TEACHER PERFORMANCE REPORT

#### **EVALUATION OF TEACHER PERFORMANCE**

Performance parameter	Weight (%)
Teaching and contribution to Academia	40
Research and Consultancy	45
Extension	15

#### **Evaluation of Teacher Performance**

No	Perform	nance parameter						Max. Score	Performand score
I.	Teachir	ng and contribution to A	cademi	ia:				400	
	<b>A)</b> As p	per objective observations							
		nent of the teacher on a 5 and to : poor, satisfactory, vely							
	Score		10	8	6	4	2		
	1.	Availability to students during working hours	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	2.	Punctuality to classes	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	3.	Regularity in taking classes as per Time-Table	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	4.	Maintenance of students' attendance register	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	5.	Maintenance of lecture diary	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	6.	Arranging classroom seminars to students	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	7.	Regularity in giving home assignments	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	8.	Celerity/briskness in providing solutions to assignments	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	9.	Promptness in returning answer scripts to students	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	10.	work including invigilation	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
		Participation in curriculum / syllabus development	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	12.	Participation in college/ Department activities	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	13.	learning - process	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		
	14.	Development of Online Courses/ e-content	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1		

	B)	Student feedback on teacher performance in the previous academic year	
		(Max. score : 60)	
		Assessment of the teacher on a 5-grade scale where grades 1 to 5 correspond to increasing teacher quality	
		% of students who assessed Score the grade 4 or grade 5	
		≥95 60 90-<95 55	
		85-<90 50	
		80-<85 45	
		75-<80 40	
		70-<75 35	
		65-<70 30	
		60-<65 25	
		55-<60 20	
	<b>0</b> \	50-<55 15	
	C)	Awards: Awards/honors/Fellowships received by the teacher from Govt./Govt. recognized academic bodies all over the career.	
		(Max. score : 40)	
		i) State level : 10 (each)	
		ii) National level : 15 (each)	
_		iii) International level : 20 (each)	
	D)	Teacher distinction (Max. score: 30)	
	ii) Nomi	nated/elected to State Professional bodies: 10 (each) inated/elected to National bodies: 15 (each) inated/elected to International bodies: 20 (each)	
	E)	Stay abroad on official leave for teaching/research/exchange	
	·	programme (not for employment) on invitation (Max. score: 30)	
		For every 3 months' stay: 10	
	F)	Books authored and published in the last 5 years with ISBN (Max. score: 100)	
		Single-author : 30 (each) (text/reference books)	
		Two authors : 25 (each) (text/reference books)	
		Multiple authors : 20 (each) (text/reference books)	
		Single-author : 20 (each) (other books)	
		Two authors : 15 (each) (text/reference books)	
		Multiple authors : 20 (each) (other books)	

	(a) Opposit	D!! .!!.	D			l ! 4l			I			
		y Bullair	ngs Progra	amme	es attend	ed in the	proceeding 5					
	years					<b>(</b> N	Max score: 30)					
	Each Programn	ne of atle	east one w	veek (	duration o	•	•					
							State Govt/					
	,						ΓE / UGC /					
	NAAC											
	0 "					(N	Max score: 30)					
	Committees Cha Committees Mer			10 5								
	Research a	450										
	data to be			<u>су —</u>	(biece	unig m	ve years	430				
II)	F											
",	A) Resea	. score : 100)										
	i)											
	ii)	Ph.D					10 (each)					
	<b>B</b> ) Resea	ch proje	cts compl	eted/	ongoing	_	e last 5 years score: 100)					
			DI 050/			•	<b>C</b> ) 1					
							investigator, if award for the					
	project	ica iii tii	ic original	Jane	dion orac	01 1110	awara for the					
	Financial outlay f	or each	Financial		Financia		Financial					
	Project		Support for		support f		Support from					
			outside In	iuia	public fu	naing	Industry					
	Rs. 100 lakh or m	nore	50.		4:	5	40					
	Rs. 75 – 100 lakh	1	45		4	0	35					
	Rs. 50 – 75 lakh		40		3:		30	-				
	Rs. 25 – 50 lakh Rs. 5 – 20 lakh		35 30		2:		25 20	-				
	Rs < 5 laks		25		2		15	-				
	110 10 10110											
	C)Research pul	olication	s during th	ne las	t 5 years	(Max.	score :100)					
	Nature of	Marks		-	ks for		or more					
	Journal		author		authors		o authors					
	Group – A UGC CARE listed Journal	20		15		6						
	Group – B	15		10		5						
	UGC CARE listed Journal											
	D) Patents	( in the	preceding	5 ye	ars)	(Max	x. score : 30)					
		i) patents granted : 25 (each) ii) patents published : 5 (each)										
	E) Member	on Edito	orial Board	ds of	UGC CAI		•					
	For eac	h journa	l : 5			( IVI	ax. score : 20)					
			rences/syr			ed and p	resented					
	papers	delivere	d keynote	addr	esses	///	000ro - 001					
						(IVIAX	. score : 20)					

	i) within	India	:	5 (ea	ch)			
	ii) outsic	le India	:	10 (e	ach)			
	rs/confere in the De					ganized by t x. score : 3		
	i) Natior ii) Interna	nal level ational l		:	10 (e 15 (e			
	ncy /Train					ax. score :	50)	
Revenue Gene	orated				Score		1	
Rs. 50 lakh and					25		-	
Rs.25 – 50 lak					20		-	
Rs. 10 to 25 la	kh				15		1	
Rs. 5 to 10 lak	h				10		-	
Rs. < 5 lakh					5			
Research and data to be v		<u>d)</u>				-	<u>s</u>	450
	arch guida		=	<i>yy / 1</i> con	-	. score : 1	(00)	
•	Tech.			: 5 (	rks)			
ii) Ph				: 10				
Full score for PI; the original sand Financial outlay	tion order		award		project	Financial		
each Project		Support outside	from	support public fu	from	Support fro Industry	om	
Rs. 100 lakh or r		50			5	40		
Rs. 75 – 100 lak		45			0	35		
Rs. 50 – 75 lakh Rs. 25 – 50 lakh		40 35			5 0	30 25		
Rs. 5 – 20 lakh		30			5	20	$\overline{}$	
C) Resear	ch publica	ations d	uring 1	the last 5		ax. Score :	100)	
	Marks fo		Mark	s for		for more	]	
Nature of		41	two a	authors	than tw	o authors	_	
Journal	single a		20				1	
				15		6		
Journal Group – A UGC CARE listed Journal Group – B UGC CARE		)				5	_	
Journal Group – A UGC CARE listed Journal Group – B	15	)		15	(Ma		30)	
Journal Group – A UGC CARE listed Journal Group – B UGC CARE listed Journal	20 15 s	)		15 10 : 25	(Ma (each) (each)	5	30)	

E) Member of	n Editorial Boar	ds of UGC CA		-			
	For each C/	NDE A journal	•	lax. scor	e : 20)		
	For each CAF	ARE A journal	. 10 : 5				
F) Seminars	conferences/sy			presented	1		
	elivered keynote			p. 0000	-		
P 4 P 5 . 5 / 4 .			(Max	c. score :	20)		
	i) within India	: 5 (ea					
	ii) outside India						
G) Seminars	/conferences/sy			ganized b	y the		
teacher ir	the Departmer	ıt/university	· (Ma	x. score	: 30)		
i)	National level	:	5 (ea				
ii)	International le	vel :	10 (e	ach)			
H) Consultan	v/Training		///	lax. score	50)		
n) Consultant	by/ maining		(IV	ax. Score	÷ . 50)		
Revenue Gener	ated		Score				
Rs. 50 lakh and	above		25				
Rs.25 – 50 lakh			20				
Rs. 10 to 25 lak	า		15				
Rs. 5 to 10 lakh	•		10				
Rs. < 5 lakh			5				
Research an	10 14			•		450	
data to be va	ilidated) For Teachers fr	om other Fa	culties				
	For Teachers fr	om other Fa		x. score	: 100)		
A) Research gui	For Teachers fr		(Ма		,		
	For Teachers fr dance M. Phil./M.Ph		(Ма	x. score : ach) max.	,		
A) Research gui	For Teachers fr dance M. Phil./M.Ph marks	arm/ML	(Ма		,		
A) Research guid (i) (ii)	For Teachers fr dance M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D.	arm/ML : 10 (each)	<b>(Ma</b> : : 5 (ea	ach) max.	of 50		
A) Research guid (i) (ii)	For Teachers fr dance M. Phil./M.Ph marks	arm/ML : 10 (each)	(Ma.: 5 (ead	ach) max.	of 50 years		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Researc	dance M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp	arm/ML : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investi	(Ma. : 5 (ea during t (Max gator, if i	nch) max.  he last 5 yr. score :	of 50 years 100)		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Researc	dance M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp	arm/ML : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investi	(Ma. : 5 (ea during t (Max gator, if i	nch) max.  he last 5 yr. score :	of 50 years 100)		
(i) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii)	dance M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp	arm/ML : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p	(Ma. : 5 (ead during t (Max) gator, if the	nch) max.  he last 5 ;  score :  mentioned	of 50 years 100)		
(i) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (iii)	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p	(Ma.during to (Max) gator, if the project	he last 5 ; score : mentioned	of 50 years 100)		
(i) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii)	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score Support	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p	(Ma. : 5 (ead during t (Max) gator, if i project	he last 5 ; score : mentioned Financia Support	of 50 years 100)		
(i) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (iii)	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p	(Ma. : 5 (ead during t (Max) gator, if i project	he last 5 ; score : mentioned	of 50 years 100)		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Research Full score for PI; 3 he original sancti Financial outlay for each Project	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside li	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investigaward for the part support public fu	(Ma. to 5 (each	he last 5 grant from the last 5 grant from t	of 50 years 100) in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Research Full score for PI; 3 he original sancti Financial outlay for each Project  Rs. 45 lakh or mo	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside life re 50	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investigaward for the part support public further support for the further support public further support further supp	(Maximum)  during t (Maximum)  gator, if indicated the from inding	he last 5 grant from the last 5 grant from t	of 50 years 100) in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Research Full score for PI; 3 he original sancti Financial outlay for each Project  Rs. 45 lakh or mo Rs. 30 – 45 lakh	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside life  16 50 17 45	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investigaward for the part support public further for the further form and a support public further f	(Max) during t (Max) gator, if inoroject If from inding	he last 5 grant from the last 5 grant from t	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Research Full score for PI; 3 he original sancti Financial outlay for each Project  Rs. 45 lakh or mo Rs. 30 – 45 lakh Rs. 15 – 30lakh	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside li re 50 45 40	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investigaward for the part support public further support for the further support public further support further supp	(Maxiduring to (Maxiduring to (Maxiduring))	Financia Support Industry	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Research Full score for PI; 3 he original sancti Financial outlay for each Project  Rs. 45 lakh or mo Rs. 30 – 45 lakh	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside life  16 50 17 45	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investigaward for the part support public further support for the public further support support for the public further support support support support support further support suppo	(Maximum)  during t (Maximum) (Maxim	he last 5 grant from the last 5 grant from t	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Research Full score for PI; 3 The original sancti  Financial outlay for each Project  Rs. 45 lakh or mo Rs. 30 – 45 lakh Rs. 15 – 30lakh Rs. 10–15 lakh	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside li  re 50 45 40 35	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing  for Co-investigaward for the part of the pa	(Maximum)  during t (Maximum) (Maximum) (from) (fro	Financia Support Industry  40 35 30 25	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Research Full score for PI; 3 the original sancti  Financial outlay for each Project  Rs. 45 lakh or mo Rs. 30 – 45 lakh Rs. 15 – 30lakh Rs. 10–15 lakh Rs. 5 – 10 lakh Rs. < 5 laks	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp s of the score on order of the s  re 50 45 40 35 30 25	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing  for Co-investigaward for the part of the pa	(Maximum)  i. 5 (each during to (Maximum)  gator, if it is project  If from it is included to (included to (i	Financia Support Industry  40 35 30 25 20	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (iii)  (ii)  (iii)	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside li re 50 45 40 35 30 25  th publications	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p  Financia support public fur  44  44  33  30  21	(Max) during t (Max) gator, if if project  If from inding  5 0 6 0 7 (Max)	Financia Support Industry  40 35 20 15	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (iii)  (ii)  (iii)  (iiii)  (iiiii)  (iiiiiii)  (iiiiiiii	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside li re 50 45 40 35 30 25  th publications Marks for	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p  Financia support public fu  44  44  33  22  Marks for	(Max) during t (Max) gator, if i project  I from inding  (Max) (Max)	Financia Support Industry  40 35 30 25 20 15  x. score	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (iii)  (ii)  (iii)	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside li re 50 45 40 35 30 25  th publications	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p  Financia support public fu  44  44  33  22  Marks for	(Max) during t (Max) gator, if t project  I from I from I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Financia Support Industry  40 35 20 15  x. score (s) for extra 10 max.	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (iii)  (iiii)  (iiiii)  (iiiiiiii	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside li re 50 45 40 35 30 25  th publications  Marks for single author	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p  Financia support public fu  44  49  33  22  Marks for two authors	(Max) during t (Max) gator, if t project  I from I from I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Financia Support Industry  40 35 30 25 20 15  x. score extra score than authors	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (ii)  (iii)  (ii)  (iii)  (iiii)  (iiiii)  (iiiiiii)  (iiiiiiii	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside li re 50 45 40 35 30 25  th publications Marks for single author	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p  Financia support public fu  44  44  33  22  Marks for	(Max) during t (Max) gator, if t project  I from I from I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Financia Support Industry  40 35 20 15  x. score (s) for extra 10 max.	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Research Full score for PI; 3 he original sancti  Financial outlay for each Project  Rs. 45 lakh or mo Rs. 30 – 45 lakh Rs. 15 – 30lakh Rs. 15 – 30lakh Rs. 5 – 10 lakh Rs. 5 – 10 lakh Rs. 5 – 10 lakh Rs. 6 laks  C) Research  Nature of Journal  Group – C UGC CARI listed Journ	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside li re 50 45 40 35 30 25  th publications Marks for single author	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p  Financia support public fu  44  49  33  22  Marks for two authors	(Max) during t (Max) gator, if t project  I from I from I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Financia Support Industry  40 35 30 25 20 15  x. score extra score than authors	of 50 years 100) d in		
(i) (ii) (ii)  B) Research Full score for PI; 3 he original sancti  Financial outlay for each Project  Rs. 45 lakh or mo Rs. 30 – 45 lakh Rs. 15 – 30lakh Rs. 15 – 30lakh Rs. 5 – 10 lakh Rs. 7 – 10 lakh	dance  M. Phil./M.Ph marks Ph.D. n projects comp  5% of the score on order of the score outside li  re 50 45 40 35 30 25  ch publications  Marks for single author  20  all	arm/ML  : 10 (each) leted/ongoing for Co-investig award for the p  Financia support public fu  44  49  33  22  Marks for two authors	(Max) during t (Max) gator, if t project  I from I from I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Financia Support Industry  40 35 30 25 20 15  x. score extra score than authors	of 50 years 100) d in		

	listed Journal	
	Member on Editorial Boards of refereed research journals	
	(Max. score : 25)	
	For each journal : 5	
	<ul> <li>Seminars/conferences/symposia attended and presented papers/delivered keynote addresses</li> </ul>	
	(Max. score : 50)	
	(max. coc, c r co)	
	i) within India : 5 (each) ii) outside India : 10 (each)	
	F) Seminars/conferences/symposia/workshops organized by the teacher in the Department/university (Max. score : 25)	
	i) National level : 5 (each)	
	ii) International level : 10 (each)  G) Consultancy (Max. score : 50)	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	i) consultancy projects handled : 20 (each)	
	ii) served / serving as consultant to	
	industry / other organizations : 20 (each)	
III)	Extension / other activities – (Preceding FIVE years	
	data to be validated)	
	A) Extension / outreach activities involved in	
	(Max. score : 40)	150
	The activities may include contributing to: environment	
	protection, healthcare in rural/slum population, adult literacy, nation building activities, etc.ADD FROM NAAC	
	INVOLVED ROLE TO BE DEFINED	
	For any recognized and authenticated activity : 10 (each)	
	<b>B)</b> Membership of Professional Academic bodies/Associations related to the discipline, Membership of	
	Governing / Executive / Advisory body of an industry or other	
	sector with the permission of the university INTENATIONAL BODIES 10	
	NATIONAL BODIES 10	
	(Max. score : 40)	
	Each: 10  C) Administrative assignments (other than those positions acquired	
	by seniority or on rotational basis	
	(Max. score : 40)	
	For each assignment per year : 5  D) Establishing Start-Ups (Max. score: 30)	
	DESCRIPTION	
	For each Start-Up : 15	
	MAXIMUM SCORE (TOTAL 1000)	
	,	

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

- ➤ The student feedback on teacher performance is to be consolidated based on the response of the students to the given 20 items on a five-point rating scale. The rating scale refers to I: poor, II: average, III: good, IV: very good, V: excellent.
- > The scores corresponding to the ratings are

Rating	<u>Score</u>
I : poor	2
II : average	4
III : good	6
IV : very good	8
V : excellent	10

- > The maximum score for the 20 items is 200
- ➤ After obtaining the feedback forms from the students, the total score corresponding to the ratings given by each student is to be calculated; and then, the average score corresponding to all the students.

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Note: i) Please give your opinion on the performance of the teacher with reference to the 20 items listed below; the opinion is to be given on a five – Point rating scale – I – poor, II – average, III – good, IV – very good, V – excellent.

Name of the Teacher:

ii) Please do not write your name or put your signature anywhere.

College:

Subject:	Class:	Date:

O NI -	Item	Rating										
S.No	item	I	II	III	IV	٧						
1	Efforts to effectively cover 100% of Syllabus											
2	The interest generated in the students while											
	teaching in the class room											
3	Clarity of expression while teaching the topic/											
	lesson											
4	Depth of subject content explained											
5	Level of latest development in the subject taught											
6	Use of other methods of teaching like class											
	seminar, question answer, group discussion etc.,											
	in the class room											
7	Encouraging questions on the topic in the class											
	and clearing doubts											
8	Use of teaching models and teaching aids in the											
	class room											
9	Encouraging and supervising the students for											
	study projects											
10	Aptitude shown in arranging field visits, invited											
	lectures etc., in the subject											
11	Encouraging and guiding students in reading											
	library (subject and general) books and using											
	internet											

12	Encouraging and guiding students in giving class							
	seminars							
S.No	ltem		R	l ating	ng			
	item	I	II	III	IV	V		
13	Regularity and seriousness in valuing the answer							
	scripts of monthly tests and discussing the same							
	with the students							
14	Remedial coaching and efforts made in preparing							
	the students for University Examinations							
15	Regularity and punctuality to the class							
16	Enthusiasm shown to encourage and guide							
	students in extracurricular activities like cultural,							
	community service, nation building etc.							
17	Counseling and career guidance							
18	Accessibility of the lecturer outside the classroom							
	for academic interaction							
19	Personal care and affection while dealing with							
	individual students and helping students							
20	Overall opinion on the lecturer							

		(W or									commit given in									022)						
Name o	of the University										<u> </u>							,								
Group:										Pleas	e refer sl	.nos 9,	10 an	d 11 in	G.O.	Ms. No	25 H	Dept	Dt.01.0	07.2022	2					
	Subject of the Applicant in the group	Name of the applicant	ı	Marks scored for Teaching and contribution to Academia (Max. Score 400 marks)								Marks scored for Research and Consultancy (Max. Score 450 marks)							Marks scored for Extension (Max. Score 150 marks)					Grand Total		
				۵							Total									Total					Total	(Max.
			9 (a)	9 (b)	9 (c)		9 (e)			9 (h)	scored								10 (h)	scored		11 (b)	11 (c)	11 (d)	scored	
			80	60	40	30	30	100	30	30	for 400	100	100	100	30	20	20	30	50	for 450	40	40	40	30	for 150	1000 marks)
1																				100				1	100	mumoj
2																									1	
3																										
4																										
5																										
	Signatures of t	he University Scrutiny Co	er (With	n Nan	ne and	Design	nation)																			
	1	ne oniversity belating co.	44. ( VV ICI	1 Ivaii	ic aira	Design	iationij																	+		
	2																									
	3																									
	4																									
	5																									